P 70

Previous references.

1) Ex-C No: 2528/Ex 72 dy 22/8/1871.
2) Pol 1-09 190-11
3) ~~Ext~~ 887-1 10/7 Jan 1911
Later references. (Police)
Pol 172-13. 1/4/13 & 5/6/13 1510-

File No. 160-10-

Serial Nos. 1—16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—16

Part B. for May 1912

SUBJECT.

Appeal of the Mahommedans of Yedehalli against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Kadur dist: permitting the Hindus to take their Gods in procession in music in front of the Mosque.

From 1) The Prin: Secretary to H.H. The Maharaja -
No: 2198 dy 30.1.11 -
Forwards for disposal a petition in the above matter -

To 2) The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur dist - No: g 2560 dy 6.3.11
Requests him to inf — parties that their case will be heard on 3.4 calls for the records in the case -

3) From — do — No: 350 dy 13.3.11
Forwards the records -

H D S 50

P.J.O

From Nadiga Subrahmanya & others, Petrs & 20.3.11

4) Prays for an adjournment -

To
5) The Mahommedan & Hindu Petitioners -
Thro' the Amildar - No: 2743-4 & 24.3.11

Intimates that the case has been adjourned
to 22.4.11
Copy to the Dy: Commr - No: 2745 & 24.3.11.

6 To Dy: Commr - Kadur - No: 379 & 31.3.11 / 4.4.11

Intimates, of the due service of notice
re-the hearing of the case -

7 From the Mahommedans of Yedehalli -

Pray for an adjournment of the
case -

8) To the Mrs & Hindu Petitioners - thro' the
Amildar - No: J 2871-72. & 12.4.11

Adjourns the case to the 27th May/11
Copy of the above to the Dy: Commr - No: J 2873 & 12.4.11

9) From the Mahommedans of Yedehalli & 24.5.11

Pray for an adjournment on
account of plague etc -

10) To: the Mahommedans & Hindus of Yedehalli -
No: J 3333-4 & 31.5.11

Adjourns the case to 4-7-11.

11) To
the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur Dist -
No: J 3507 & 24.5.11
Calls for a sketch of the place -

G.R.S. 11J. 19[illegible].

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 160-10

FILE HEADING.

Appeal of the Mahomedans of Yodchalli against the order of the Dy. Comr. Kadur Dt., permitting the Hindus to take their procession with music in front of the mosque

From

The Private Secretary to H.H. the Maharaja

No. 2198 Dated 30.1.11 Received 9.2.11

Diary No. 1245 Enclos. Spare Copies Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for disposal a petition from the Inhabitants of Yodchalli complaining against the order of the Dy. Comr. permitting the Hindus to go in procession with music in front of the mosque.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

A [illegible] report was called for in this matter, the records were carefully perused and the case was, after due consideration finally disposed in Hons' this office endorsement no.

NOTES—First sheet.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 19 10-11

Serial No.

7L T.

J 818-Police 177-09-4 D/ 14.9.10. Subd. for

order.

B.Q.
C9/15.2.11.
SW (11)
16/2

2) Are any fresh points urged in this petition?

Police
17/2/11

3) Subd. No new grounds are urged. The only difference between the previous petition & this seems to be that the former was addressed to Govt. & the latter to H.H

B.Q.
C9/10.2.11.
SW (11)

4) Put up on draft that Govt see no reason to reconsider the order already passed

Police
22/2/11

5) Draft subd. 6) May be appd

B.Q.
C9/23.2.11.
SW (11)

24/2/11

7) Yes after hearing the parties

8) Put up to

2231
28 2 11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 1910-11

Serial No.

9) Names of the leading members of the Hindu community are not known and the records are not in this Office. Sub for orders with a draft to the Dy Comr, Kad
3.9.
C9/1-3-11 SN
2/3/11

10) Date of hearing has to be fixed by the Asstt Collr
2-3-11

11) 3d April 911 approved
3/3/11
CN
Asstt

2272
3-3-11

Mice
Call for records from the Dy Comr Karur if not received on 22nd inst
SN

2 To The Dy. Comr. Karur Dl. no. T2560 d/ 6.3.11
Requests that the parties may informed that the case will be heard on the 3rd April 1911 and calls for the records of the case.

3 From The Same Office. no. 350 d/ 7/3.3.11
Forwards the records and states that notice of hearing has been sent to the Dy. Amildar for service.

4 From Nadja Subrahmanaiya Ayyar. Pet d/20.3.11
The petitioners pray that the hearing of the case may be postponed as they it will not be convenient for them to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 19 10-11

Serial No.

present at the hearing on account of Ramalaswamy

~~The petitioners~~

12) 1st Councillor's order :-

"The date of hearing may be post-poned as herein requested."

13) The petitioners represent that they will not be able to leave Tyagahalli till after the 16th April 1911. Subs. with a draft. The date has to be put in.

B.G.
28.3.11
SM (11)
28/3/11

14) 22nd April may, if otherwise convenient, be fixed.

2 8/7/4 Sd

15) Please notify the 4/5 R.R.N. 28/3/14 parties concerned

16) Issue

Sd

29/3/11

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 1910-11

Serial No.	
5	To The Hudur & the Inchargeman of Yadgirki. no. J2463 Dt 29.3.11 thro the Dy. Commr. Intimate that the case has been adjourned to the 22nd April 1911. To The Dy. Comr. Raichur Dt. no. J2745 Dt/29.3.11 forwards copy of the above.

17)
Sy. Bydesaab
Khaje Syadsaheb
Syad yunus
Dadasaab
Imamsaheb
present & say they
did not receive
notice of punishment
informed that the case stands
adjourned to 22 inst
13/4/11
3/4/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPH—80,000—12-8-10



In the Court of the 1st Councillor to His Highness the Mahārāja of Mysore Bangalore.

Suit No. ..... **of 19** .

Petitioner ~~Plaintiff~~ Gandahudi Beedan Sabi & others.

Versus

Defendant .....

Gandahudi Sabi & others the petitioner above named do hereby nominate and appoint Mr. H. K. VEERABASAVIAH, B.A., B.L., to be my advocate in the above suit, and I do hereby empower my said Advocate to appear for me and on my behalf in the above suit; to take all and any steps which may be necessary on my behalf arising out of, or in connection with the above suit, either during its progress or after its decision; to sign, and subscribe his name to any document which he may deem proper; to receive out of the said Court any sum of money which may be lodged or become payable or receivable on my behalf, and on receipt thereof to grant receipts or other sufficient discharges for the same; to withdraw, compromise, or refer to arbitration the said suit, to appoint one or more substitute or substitutes, and to act and perform all other matters and things relating to or connected therewith to represent me in all matters relating to the same and to act in the premises as fully and effectually to all intents and purposes, as I might do if personally present and did the same. And I do hereby agree to pay all Fees, Costs, Charges and Expenses which may be incurred and to allow ratify and confirm all and singular whatsoever my said Advocate shall lawfully do or cause or procure to be done in the premises by virture of these presents.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand this 3rd da

—191 .

"Accepted."

H. Veerabasaviah

Advocate.

Signed before me by the executant who is known to me.

Advocate.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 19 10-11

Serial No.

6 From The Dy. Comm. Kadur DC. no. 3798/ 31.3.11 / 4.4.11
forwards, duly served, notices on the leading members of both the parties intimating the posting of the case to 3.4.11.

7 From The Mahomedans. Pet.
Requests that the case may be adjourned to some date in the last week of May 1911.

19) First Councillor's order:
To be posted to the 27th May 1911 and intimation given to both parties"
(Sd.) K. P. P.
8.4.11

20) Subd. with a draft accordg.
B.G. 10.4.11
(Sd.) SW(11) 11/4

21) Issued.

22) Parties to appear at
11/4/11.

11/4/11

8 To The Mahomedans & Hindus of Yedehalli Thro' the Dy. Amildar, Yedehalli
nos J2871 & J2872 D/12.4.11
Intimating that the hearing of the case is adjourned to the 27th May.

To The Dy. Comm. Kadur DC. no. J2873 D/12.4.11
Forwards copy of the above for information

Serial No.

23) Subd. The case is to be heard on the 27th ~~inst~~ morning at 8 A. Attention is invited to the notes in Police file no. 177-09 where the subject is fully considered.

B.G. (59) 23.5.11. SN (II)

9 From The Mahomedans of Yedehelli. Pet. D/ 21.5.11.

Petitioners pray that the hearing of the case may be adjourned to another date as they cannot attend the hearing on 27.5.11 on account of the prevalence of plague in their town & certain other unavoidable inconveniences.

24) First Councillor's order :—
"To be placed in the file."
(Sd.) K. P. P.
23.5.11.

25) Subd. for orders.

B.G. (57) 25.5.11. SN (III)

26) 25/5

Case called on —
Neither party presents.
Mr. Krishnappa advocate appears for the Hindus (he promises to file the power of attorney) & asks for an adjournment on the ground that the parties have gone abroad

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12.8.10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 1910-11

Serial No.

for marriages &c

27) The Mahomedans have already applied for an adjournment adjourned to 4th July 1911. Notice to be given to both parties.

27/5/11.

28) Subd. with 2 drafts.

KVK(23) SN
27.5.11

29) (1) As &c

30) (2) Please fill the blank in the addition made to the English draft

27/5/11.

To be sent on 30/5/11

To The Mahomedans & Hindus of Gooty &c. No. J3333-4 Dt. 31-5-11

Intimates that the hearing of the case is postponed to 4-7-11.

To The Dy. Comr. Kadiri &c. No. J3307 Dt/ 29-5-11

Returns the records and requests for submission, before the date of hearing, a sketch showing the situation of the mosjid at the different periods referred to

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 19 10-11

Serial No.

in his order of the 15th June 1909 and particularly at the time Col. Campbell passed his order of 1887.

31 The sketch called for has not yet been received nor have the records been returned. The case is to be heard on 4.7.11. Subd. for orders with a draft reminder.

B.G. (67)29.6.11 SN(11) 29.6.11

32 29/6/11

Reminder issued no. J3562 D/29 from the Dy. Comr. Kadur Dt. no. 1 D/ 3.7.11 stating that the sketch called for has not yet been received from the Dy Commissioner and that it will be subd on its receipt.

33 Subd. for information.

18.7. (67)5.7.11 SN(11)

34 5/7/11

12 From The Dy. Comm[r], Kadur Dt no. 9 D 5.7.11

Submits the sketch as desired with the records.

35 Subd. with a draft to the Advocates

To a Saturday for final orders to be given to bring the (matter to the notice of the Hon. the Minister) after receipt of the record - 10 days time

4/7/11

Pshwardhan 5/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 1910

Serial No.

intimating the date of hearing.
KN. (123) SW
12.7.11

36 Subject to approval, Saturday the 22nd is fixed in the draft
SA
14/7/11

1st C/7

37 approved
KRS
13/7/11

15/7/11
To be subd. 3 days before the date of hearing

38 Issue form
SA
14/7/11

13 Vembakam (or Agandakam?) Venkata Rau — this please bring back and on the 20th inst. and explain why the reports were not submitted
19/7/11

To
Mr. H. Krishnappa, B.A., and Mr. H. K. Veerabasavaiya Advocates, Bangalore. No. J 218-9 & 14.7.11.

Intimates that the case will be heard on Saturday the 22nd instt.

Subd.
KN. (123) 19.7.11. SW(21)

39 [Case heard and decided by the Cr.]

40 The petition presented by Hindus of Gudekalli Hobli to the Dy Commr Kadur on 1st Oct 1887 Contained

L

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 1910

Serial No.

Clear admission by them that the 'old Musjid' was between the Agraharam and the Petta and that Hindu music was being stopped when processions passed that "musjid". The order of Mr Srinivasaya is in Conflict with this document and his Statement that the old Musjid must have Stood Somewhere on the Mandagaddi road does not seem to receive Support from any of the papers in the record.

41 A report may therefore be called for by from the Deputy Comr with particular reference to the petition referred to above. If necessary he may also be permitted to reconsider his order if Such a Course be deemed necessary by him.

42 Submitted

12/9/11.

43 Perused. Muniswami's order is unintelligible in the light of the above discussion.

Does the order concern musicians also?

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

44 Put up with date to be noted on the previous file

14/9/11

Serial No.

45 Draft subd.

The Mysuru Branch may perhaps be asked to note whether this case in any way relates to that department.

46 It is subd. that before relining the records to the Dt. Mr. Venkatanarayanaiah's application for certain copies may be disposed of.

B.4. 67 17.9.11 SN(11) 19.9.11

To Mysuru Branch

SA 20/9/11

Subd under Sec.ˢ (VII)

47 On a Petn from Subbarayappa & others of Hoskerahally, Kankanhalli Taluk on a similar subject, the question whether it should be dealt with in Bov III or Bov VII was raised by the Secy and the file has been subd to the Dewan for consideration of the Rd (Sec.ˢ remark that a definite ruling is necessary in such cases.

48 The present case has also been finished by orders of the Dewan on the above case.

RL 26 21/9/11 To Branch III

GS 21/9/1911

Subd SN(11) 15/9

49 This is a dispute regarding the

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 1910-11

Serial No.

a right to pass in procession with music before a mosque* which does not seem to be a musri institution. The matter before ~~probably~~ seems to appeal to 'Police' rather than Musri

Subd.
Under the Cash grant Register of the Merchant cash and Register in the Musri Branch were examined. The mosque in question does not occur in either of these. As to whether the mosque enjoys any land income the officials of the Musri Branch are unable to say.
5/9/11

25/9/11

Let 50
Issue draft W.D.C

19/9/11

14 To The Dy. Comr. Kadur Dt. no. J/1315 dt/31.10
Calls for a report with particulars reference to the petition of 1.10.87.

15 From The Dy. Comr & Dt. Magte of Kadur
no. 289 dt 24/26.2.12
Makes a further report on the procession dispute -

51 Subd. The Hindus have admitted the existence of an old musjid in the petition of 1887, between the pettah & Agrahar

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Col. Campbell also refers to an old musjid in his order of 1887. The exact situation of the musjid has not been stated either in Col. Campbell's order or that of Mr. Sparkes. Besides, it is not exactly known as to when this old musjid fell into a state of disrepair. The leading musalmans that have deposed to the facts in 1887 have all given their information from hearsay.

52 The Dt. Magte defends his order of 1909 by stating that the musjid now built is on a site which had been originally used by the Mahomedans as resting place for travellers. But from the Sketch received from the Dy. Amildar of Yedehalli, it will be seen that during Col. Campbell's time, the musjid was in the petta. This was ~~temporarily~~ the musafarkhana which had been converted into a musjid temporarily & Col. Campbell has directed that this ~~musjid~~ building should not be used as a place of worship. Perhaps the musafarkhana still exists. According to the Sketch, the situation of the musjid under dispute is not where these Dy. Comm^rs locates it.

53 As late as 1893 or 94, a musjid has been built by the Mahomedans between the petta & safrakar (Vide Sketch below), perhaps unauthorisedly. The records do not contain

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 1910

Serial No.

papers relating to the grant of license to build the same. The Khanesmari account filed in the records show that the Site on which this new musjid is built was for a long time a vacant one & the ✗ maps prepared at the Survey time do not contain any mark showing the existence of a musjid.

54 Mr. Sparkes in his Enquiry of 1901 has, on inspection, found out that the small door of this musjid facing the road is an newly opened one & ordered its being closed It is perhaps the opening of this door that has brought about this dispute, for between the years 1887 & 1900 both the Hindus & the Mahomedans do not seem to have any dispute in the matter.

Subd. for orders.

K.N. (123) 23.3.12 SN (01) 25/3/12

Submit to Hon. C.H. the returned file. 25/3/12

55

This Case was heard by the late First Councillor, the parties being represented by Lawyers. After a perusal of the records the Case was remitted to the 1st Magistrate that he might [illegible] the Effect of an admission made by the Hindus in one of their

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 16C of 1910

Serial No.

4/1887 P.

X. X. old

petitions that the Musjid was situate between the Petta and the Agrahar. As the Musjid now in ques is also situate between the Petta and the Agrahar and as it is admitted by the Hindus that music was being stopped before the old Musjid, the effect of the statement in the petition of 1887 would prima facie appear to be that the new Musjid having been rebuilt on the same site on which the old one stood, the manual regarding stoppage of music would prima facie be But the Dist. Magte still seems to think that the old Musjid was situate elsewhere viz on the Mandagere Road, though evidently he is not quite sure of it. There is no doubt that during Col. Campbell's time (1887) the Musjid was in the Petta (Musafarkhana).

56. However this may be, it is not clear that the Govt can interfere with the orders of the Dist. Magte. Such orders passed as they are under the Police Regn are not subject to appeal and the object of remitting the record on the last occasion was

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 1910

Serial No.

to invite the Dist. Magt's attention to the adverse statement contained in the petition of the Hindus dated 1887 in order that, if so advised, he might reconsider his order. But as he adheres to his original view, the appeal preferred by the Mohammedans may be rejected.

15/4/12

2nd 5. Under the above circumstances the appeal is rejected.

18/4

58. Putty duff

19/4/12

58 Subd. with a draft Endorsement.
KN. (123)
25. 4. 12

27/4

60

8/5/12

B

8/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GHB—20,000—20-1-12

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 160 of 1911-12

Serial No.	
16	To Budan Sab & others of Yedehalli, no T3237 D/6.5.12 Rejects the appeal. To The DC. Mysore. Kadur DC. no. T3238 D/6.5.12 Forwards copy of the above.

J2045 file no 160-6 S-220-1

No 2198

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 30th January 1911

VII 31/1/11

2. 9/2/11

1338-40

I have read the 31-1-1911

W. read the leave Office the Petitions as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, are

1/2/11

transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore, General and Revenue Department (Br: VII), Bangalore, for

disposal

Petn No. 1086 is transferred to Branch VII which has been dealt with under No. J818/Pol177-74 dtd 14.9.10.

9.2.1911

No. 1086 to 1087 of 10-11.

For VII matter + Kept here.

By Order,

I.C.S.

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

H. THE MAHARAJ

339



ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

ಅಪೀಲ್ ನಂಬರ್

ಅಪೀಲ್ ಮಾಡಿದವರು

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ವರ್ಸಸ್

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಪ್ಪೀರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರು..
ಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಯಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ಕವು ನಡಿಸಿ
ಹಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕ್ಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು
ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹಾ ಪಡಿಯುಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಿಗಾಗಿ ರೀಫಂಡ್ ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪನ್ನು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವ
ಜು ವಸ್ತುನಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾವಸು ನಡಿಯು ಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ಈ ದೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಈ ಆಧಾರದಮೇಲೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ತಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಖ
ದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಯಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇಪ್ಪು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಪಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ದ
ನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು ರಜಿ.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಿಗೆ ಪರಿ ಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜುಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

Accepted

G.R.S. 26]

Police
File No. 160-14
Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 4/3/11

No. J 256 Cont/.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Police.)
, *the* 19 .

To
The Dy. Comr. of the Kadirur Dt.

Date of issue 6-3-11

Signed by [illegible]

* To be returned with the reply

I am forwarding herewith a petition from the Mahomedans of Yekkalli regarding the conduct of Hindu processions with music in front of their mosque, and have the honor to request that leading members of both parties may be informed that the case will be heard by the Dt.

over.

SUBJECT.

Councillor at the Public
Offices at Bangalore at 12 noon
the † 3rd April 1911 and that
they may represent the
petitioners, or the respondent
appear
personally or be represented
by Pleader or Advocate, at the
hearing of the case. It is
also requested that records
connected with the case
may be forwarded so as to reach
this Office at least 10 days before
the date of hearing.

By Order

2-3-11
For Secretary

3/3/11

B.G. 1-3-11. SH

List of enclosures,

† Date to be fixed.

Copied by MLP

Examined by SHMB

GPB—20,000—25-9-10

III
163 M. 1907 - 11

3 28

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kaduna District
file ref. 3

To The Secretary to the
Govt. of Nigeria
Gen. & Res. Depts.

Dated 9th

Despatched 13th March 1911.

Received

General No. 350
No.

Enclosure No. Record

Forwarding the records with
the petition from the Mohammedans
of Zedekali regarding the condu
of Hindu processions with musi
in front of their mosque, &c

From

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq. B. A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B. A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Departments.

Dated, Chikmagalur, 9th March 1911.

Sir,

In returning herewith Petition No. 1339 dated 2nd January 1911 from the Mahomedans of Yedehalli regarding the conduct of Hindu processions in front of their Mosque, received with your No. J 2560/ Col. 160-10-2 dated 6th instant, I have the honor to forward the record connected with the case, and to state that notice of hearing of the case by the 1st Comicillor has been sent to the Deputy Amildar of Yedehalli for service on the leading members of both the parties, and that the same will be forwarded to you on receipt from him after service.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obt. Servant,

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner

12 Thee

J2433.

RR/
27.3

file no. 3-10
sl. no. 4

The date of hearing
may be fixed
as herein requested

27/3/11

ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನು ಮುಂದೂಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

K. Ramamurthy
Chairman

K. Shamanna

K. Srinivasa Rao

J 2743-4 dt 29-3-11 File no 160-10

Sl. no. 45

Through the Dy. Commr.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

J 2570

File No. 160-11

1910-11 SC. No. 6

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Department

Dated 31. 3. 1911

Despatched 4/4/11

Received

General No. 379

No.

Enclosure No. 2 in

Submitting notices serv
on the leading members of both th
parties concerned in the condu
of Hindu processions with music
in front of the Mosque in
Jedehalli

From

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B. A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Jud. and Rev. Department

Dated, Chikmagalur, 31st March 1911

Sir,

In Continuation of this Office letter No. 350 dated 9-13th March 1911 returning the petition from the Mahomedans of Jedahalli regarding the conduct of Hindu processions with music in front of their Mosque and forwarding the records connected therewith, I have the honor to submit herewith notices issued on the leading members of both the parties for favor of being caused to be filed in the records.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obt. Servant

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner
of Kadur

ਫੈਸਲਾ

532

ਮਿਤੀ 23/3/1911

ਸਰਕਾਰ ਬਨਾਮ ਸ਼ਾਹਜ਼ਾਦਾ ਅਬਦੁਲ ਰਹੀਮ ਖਾਂ ਵਗੈਰਾ

ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਮਿਸਟਰ ਐਫ. ਐਚ. ਸਕਾਟ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਵਕੀਲ

ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੌਲਵੀ ਮੁਹੰਮਦ ਯਾਕੂਬ ਵਕੀਲ

ਹੁਕਮ ਅਪੀਲ ਮਿਸਟਰ ਐਫ. ਐਚ. ਸਕਾਟ ਸੈਸ਼ਨ ਜੱਜ ਮਿਤੀ 23 ਫਰਵਰੀ 1911 ਈ.

ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮ ਸ਼ਾਹਜ਼ਾਦਾ ਅਬਦੁਲ ਰਹੀਮ ਖਾਂ ਵਗੈਰਾ ਤੇ ਦੋਸ਼ ਲਾਇਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਨੇ ਮਿਤੀ 19 ਫਰਵਰੀ 1911 ਈ. ਨੂੰ ਸ਼ਾਮ ਦੇ ਵਕਤ ਮੌਜ਼ਾ ਸੁਰਖਪੁਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਮੁਕੱਦਮਾ ਦੀਵਾਨੀ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਮੁਖ਼ਬਰ ਨੂੰ ਜ਼ਖ਼ਮੀ ਕੀਤਾ ਸੀ। ਇਸ ਦੋਸ਼ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਸੈਸ਼ਨ ਜੱਜ ਨੇ ਮੁਲਜ਼ਮਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਬਰੀ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਸੀ। ਇਸ ਹੁਕਮ ਦੇ ਖ਼ਿਲਾਫ਼ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ।

ਅਪੀਲ ਦੀ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਤੋਂ ਬਾਅਦ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਨੇ ਫੈਸਲਾ ਸੁਣਾਇਆ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਰੱਦ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ। ਸੈਸ਼ਨ ਜੱਜ ਦਾ ਹੁਕਮ ਬਹਾਲ ਰਹੇਗਾ।

ਹੁਕਮ

ਮਿਤੀ 23/3/1911

ప్రతివాది

వాది న్యాయవాది

వాది సంతకం

సాక్షి పేరు

సాక్షి సంతకం

సాక్షి చిరునామా

సాక్షి పేరు

సాక్షి సంతకం

సాక్షి చిరునామా

ఈ తేదీన వాది ప్రతివాదుల సమక్షంలో ఈ ఒప్పందం చదివి వినిపించగా వారు అర్థం చేసుకొని సంతకాలు చేసిరి.

ఈ ఒప్పందం ఉభయ పక్షాల అంగీకారంతో మాత్రమే రద్దు చేయబడుతుంది.

ఈ ఒప్పందం ఉభయ పక్షాల మధ్య ఏర్పడిన పూర్తి ఒప్పందం. ఇంతకు ముందు జరిగిన అన్ని చర్చలు, ఒప్పందాలు ఇందులో విలీనం చేయబడ్డాయి.

ఈ ఒప్పందం ఏ కోర్టు ద్వారా చెల్లనిదిగా ప్రకటించబడినా, మిగిలిన నిబంధనలు యథాతథంగా అమలులో ఉంటాయి.

ఈ ఒప్పందం ఏ పక్షమూ ఇతరుల అనుమతి లేకుండా బదిలీ చేయరాదు.

ఈ ఒప్పందం ఉభయ పక్షాల మధ్య ఏర్పడిన పూర్తి ఒప్పందం. ఇంతకు ముందు జరిగిన అన్ని చర్చలు, ఒప్పందాలు ఇందులో విలీనం చేయబడ్డాయి.

ఈ ఒప్పందం ఏ కోర్టు ద్వారా చెల్లనిదిగా ప్రకటించబడినా, మిగిలిన నిబంధనలు యథాతథంగా అమలులో ఉంటాయి.

ఈ ఒప్పందం ఏ పక్షమూ ఇతరుల అనుమతి లేకుండా బదిలీ చేయరాదు.

ఈ ఒప్పందం ఉభయ పక్షాల అంగీకారంతో మాత్రమే రద్దు చేయబడుతుంది.

ఈ తేదీన వాది ప్రతివాదుల సమక్షంలో ఈ ఒప్పందం చదివి వినిపించగా వారు అర్థం చేసుకొని సంతకాలు చేసిరి.

వాది సంతకం

వాది పేరు

వాది చిరునామా

ప్రతివాది సంతకం

ప్రతివాది పేరు

ప్రతివాది చిరునామా

సాక్షి సంతకం

సాక్షి పేరు

సాక్షి చిరునామా

సాక్షి సంతకం

సాక్షి పేరు

సాక్షి చిరునామా

Deputy Commissioner.
Kadur District.

17.3.11

Deputy Commissioner

Kadur district

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B. A

Offg: Secy: to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Genl & Rev Dept

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Dated } 6th March 1911
Despatched }

No J. 2560
Police 160—10—2

Encl:—1

To be returned with the reply.

In forwarding herewith a petition from the Mahomedans of Yedahalli regarding the conduct of Hindu processions with music in front of their mosque, has the honor to request that leading members of both the parties may be informed that the case will be heard by the 1st Councillor at the Public Offices at Bangalore at 12 noon on the 3d April 1911 and that they may appear personally

or be represented by their Advocates at the hearing of the case. It is also requested that records connected with the case may be forwarded so as to reach this Office at least 10 days before the date of hearing.

By order

Signd. S. Hiriyannaiya
for Hg: Secy: to Govt

3rd 1586/87

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Amildar of Yedahalli for informa

Notices of the hearing of the case by the 1st Councillor on 3rd proximo at Bangalore are herewith forwarded for Service on the leading Mahomedan & Hindu inhabitants of Yedahalli and return to this office by the 18th Instant This reference should be treated as urg and the Dy Amildar will pay his personal attentio

Dy Commissioner
Kadur District

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Reference Dy: Comm's No 1585 Dy: 5/8/11

Original sent

60 / Reply submitted

cf 6938 / 30.3.11 The entries have been duly scrutinised in the Tenders concerned and submitted herewith. The entries regarding comparable in the case have already been submitted to the Hon'ble Magistrate with my report No 105 dated 24/3/11.

Sd/- [illegible]
Secretary

29/8/11

Sd/- [illegible]
Secretary
Commission
the 29/3/11

Sd: 30/3/11.
:45 Pm

Interpreted to
27th May 1911
+ intimation given
to both parties
8/5/11

Phil 26 J256

file no. 160 to
A. no. 7

In the Court of the 1st Councillor
to His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
at Bangalore

The undersigned Mahamedan inhabitants of Edahalli Chikmagalur District, beg to State as follows:-

1. That their appeal regarding the cessation of music before the gumma mosjid at Edahalli pending before this Honourable Court is posted to 22nd inst.

2. They respectfully submit that it is not possible & convenient for them to be present on the said date as some of them have — marriages in their houses & others have to attend jatras in the locality.

3. They humbly pray that the case may kindly be posted to some date in the last week of May 1911.

H Purushaweid
Adv.

Yth may is a
ew moments
o the Casem
ichenard ab
& am

W.M
11/4/1

File no 160-10

Sl. no. 8

The Hon'ble Dy. Commissioner & Dist
Magistrate Kadiri Sub Collector.

No. J. 2871 dt 12.4.11.

No. J. 2872

Dy. Commr. Kadiri

No. J. 2873 dt. 12.4.11

Copy to the Dy. Comm. Kadiri Dt.
for information in continuation of
Office memo no. J 2745-Police 160-10-5 dt
29-3-11.

By Order

23-6-11

30-4-11

28/5/11

file no. 160

Sl. no. 9

J 3333-4 / Police 160-10-10 Dt 31-5-11

Through the Dy. Amildar of the Yedeshalli Sub-Taluk.

మైసూరు సంస్థానద అధికార మహా రాజరవ సర్కారద మనరలా మక్కు శివిస్యా సేక్రటరి యవర కట్టోలరి నింద -

యడేదళ్ళ వాసస్థరాంద

(1) ఉడర్ సాఖివ గ్యేరి ముసల్మానరిగే

(2) నాడిగసు ప్రశ్నల్యేయు వగ్యేరి హిందూగళిగే

ప్రకటం.

నిమ్మలారు హిందూగళలు కృషవ విషయద్దల్లి రువ కేళకారు మేలధ్యమే యుగ్రాగనే నిశ్చ పత్రదల్లి 12నే కాలమిగ్గే నిదిష్ట దిన్నే నిదేశిసి మొల్యేగొనే కాలమి ఉద్దేశ మాడిరువ దృష్టింద ఆధివస్తు మత్తిన్నే గ్రామ పంచాయితిగళు కూడ కాలాగ్రహారద విషయ నిదర్శనద మేరకు తిళిశిదే.

By order,

For Secretary to Gov

VN(11)

Copy to the Dy. Commr, Kadur Dt, for information in continuation of this office memo no. J 2873 / Police 160-10-8 Dt 12-4-11.

By order,

For Secretary to Govt

VN(12)
27-5-11

G.R.S. 26]

29/609/29.5

Diary No. of letter replied to

File No. 160-

Serial No. 1

No. J 3304 Encls 1 file

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Police)

, *the* 19 .

To

The Dy. Commr., Kadu
D.

Sir,

Date of issue 29-5-11

Signed by Mr S Krishnamachya

In continuation of the correspondence ending with this office memo. no. J 2873, Police 160-10-8 dt. 12.4.11 in the matter of the conduct of Hindu processions in front of the mosque at Yedehalli, I am directed to state that the case stands adjourned to 4th July 1911 and to request you to submit before that date

over.

SUBJECT.

sketch showing the situation 3 / of the different periods
of the mosjid ~~prior to 1887 (before~~ refd to in your order
Col. Campbell's order) & during dated 15th June 09 and
the time of Col. Campbell & particularly at the time
Cr. Sparkes. Col. Campbell passed his
order of 1887.

The records are
sent herewith for reference &
return.

I have etc

For Secretary

N.(123) SA (111)
27-5-11

G.R.S. 26]

29.6
2pm

Urgent

File No.
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. Q 2562

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Police)
, *the* 19 .

To
The City Court Kadur P.C.

Date of issue 29-6-14

Signed by [illegible]

Has the honor to invite his attention to this office letter No. T3307-Police 160-10-11 dated the 27th May 1911 and to request that the sketch called for therein may be sent without further delay and to along with the records of the case.

By Order

over.

For Secretary

R.G.
(S) 29-6-11.

SUBJECT.

Ans.
2/7/14

SEEN

190 (31

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To The Secretary to the Govt.
Gen. & Rev. Depts.,
Bangalore.

Dated 3rd

Despatched 3rd July 1911.

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No. nil

Reporting that the sketch showing the situation of the masjid at Yedehalli, called for with reference to the conduct of Hindu processions in from of it, will be submitted as soon as it is received from the Deputy Amildar.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

Dated Chikmagalur, 3rd July 1911.

From

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire, M.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

To

C.S.balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Offg. Secretary to the Government of

Mysore, Gen & Rev. Depts., Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.J.3307/Pol.160-10-10 dated 29th May 1911 in the matter of the Conduct of Hindu Processions in front of the mosque at Yedenalli and calling for a sketch showing the situation of the Musjid at the different periods referred to, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Amildar of Yedehalli has not yet sent the sketch in spite of reminders and a telegram sent to him 2 days ago. I have issued a stringent reminder to him today to send the sketch at once without further delay; and I shall submit the same as soon as it is received.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

hs

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District,

Dated Chikmagalur, the 5th July 1911.

From

K.R.Srinivasiengar Esquire, M.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esquire, B.A.,

Offg. Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments, Bangalore

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.1 dated the 3rd instant re the submission of a sketch with the conduct of Hindu processions in front of mosque at Yedahalli, I have the honor to submit herewith the required sketch and the records received from the Deputy Amildar of Yedahalli through the General Assistant Commissioner, Kadur District, this day.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

Police 160-10-12

S/1906-12.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District, Chikmagalur .

To

The Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore, Gen. and Rev. Deptts.

Dated 5th July 1911.

Despatched 6

Received

General No. 9

No.

Enclosure No. one file & one sketch.

Submitting the sketch in connection with the conduct of Hindu processions in front of the mosque at Yedahalli.



REFERENCE:

REDLINE:- BUILDINGS

BLUELINE:—

A = old Mosque in this line referred to in Col: Cross

B = Existing Mosque not shown in old survey

C = present Mosque.



Sd/ orders of 1887.

True Copy/ Sd/ 1887.

S. Ac Blackwell

Dy: Secretary

26/6/11

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 1607

Serial No. 13

4/7

Diary No. of letter replied to J 74

No. J 218-9

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19 .

To

1) H. Krishnappa Esq B.a.,
Advocate,

Date of issue 14-7-11.

2) H.K. Veerabasavaiya Esq
B.a., B.L.,
Advocate.

Signed by

Sir,

I am directed to state that Mr K.P. Puttannachetty, First Member of Council, will hear the case re the conduct of Hindu processions in front of the mosque at Yedahalli, on Saturday the ~~12~~ 22nd inst. at 12 noon in the Public Office

over.

Subd:
Saturday the 22nd may be fixed, as there will be an interval of about 13 days by that time.
M

SUBJECT.

Buildings, Bangalore.

I have etc

For Secretary

KN.(123) SW(11)
12.7.11

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—26-9-10

G.R.S. 26] 9/0

Police
File No. 160-1
Serial No. 14

Diary No. of letter replied to.

No. J/1315 Encl 1 file record

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Police

, *the* 19

To

The Dy. Comr. of the Karn

Sir,—

Date of issue. 31-10-11

Signed by. for S. Srinivasaraya

I am returning herewith the records connected with the Yedahally possession between the Hindus & Mahammadans of Yedahally. I also enclose herewith a civil petition presented by the Hindus of Yedahally to the Dy. Comr. of the Karn dt. on 1.10.1887 which contains an admission by them that the Mosqu

Seems to

over.

SUBJECT.

Sd/ JN

was between the Afghan and the Pathan and that Hindu music was being stopped when their processions passed that mosque ~~and to that effect~~

Your order is in conflict with that document. I am therefore to request you to be so good as to ~~not~~ submit a report in the matter with particular reference to the petition referred to above. I am also to request that ~~no~~ space may be made to I have &c

For Secretary.

B.S.
7/18.9.11.

B.S. 3-09+15

This Your order dated ... seem to be opposed to the admin on the part of the Hindus, ~~and~~ I am asked and I

I am to add that ~~you~~ if such a course is deemed necessary, you may reconsider your former orders in the matter.

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—25-9-10

III

1911-1912

27-2-12

Sdy/ BR

J2231

From The District Magistrate Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Madras Revenue Department

Dated 24 Feb 1912.

Despatched 26

Received 27

SPECIAL No. 15

General No. 287

No.

Enclosure No. one file

Reports on the boundary dispute in front of the Masjid at Yeddahalli

40

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District,

Dated, Chikmagalur, the 24th February 1912.

Pol. — 160-

S. Sec : 15—

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire, M.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

C.S.Balsundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. J.1315/Police 160-10-4. dated 31st October 1911 returning the records connected with the procession dispute between the Hindus and Mahomedans of Yedehally and observing that my orders dated 29th March and 15th June 1909 seem to be opposed to the admission on the part of the Hindus contained in para 2 of their petition to the Deputy Commissioner presented on 1st October 1887 to the effect that the old Masjid was between the grahar and the Pettah and that Hindu music was being stopped when their procession passed that Masjid, I have the honor to state that the contention of the Hindus in that petition was not that they had any objection to stop music before the old Masjid, but that the Mahomedans were not entitled to have the same honors paid by the Hindus

to the new Masjid erected in a different place (Vide para 3 of the petition).

Col. Campbell in his order dated 19th November of the same year as that of the petition referred to has also made reference to an old Masjid in Yedenally and has held that it was the Hamool to stop Hindu music in front of it, but that the Mahomedans cannot claim to have music stopped at the place in the Pettah which was originally a resting place for travellers but which subsequently converted into a temporary musjid by th Mahomedans without obtaining the orders of the Sirkar for the same (Vide paras 1, 2 & 19 of his order page 11 of the file, Ac

2. In my order dated 15th June 1909, I have stated th the old Musjid was situated by the side of the Yedehally -Mand gadday road. This was based on the statements of Bhavany Sast Aragada Nagappa and other respectable inhabitants of the pla contained in the record (Vide Pages 3 to 6 of File No.II) as al the Deputy Amildar's report dated 26th May 1908 (Vide page 67 of the same file). It is true that as pointed out in your let under reply, the old Masjid is stated in para 2 of the petiti of the Hindus dated 1st October 1887 as having been situated midway between the Agrhara and the Pettah. But whatever might be the exact situation of the old Masjid - whether it was on

the Yedehally Mandagadde road or whether it was between the pettah and the Agrahar- there apeears to be no doubt that the Masjid regarding which the present dispute has risen is not the old Masjid , but one unauthorizedly built by the Mahomedans at a later date on a site which had been originally used by them as resting place for travellers. It will be seen that the Mahomedans themselves have admitted this fact. (vide statements made in 1881 by the Khazi and others (pages 7/7 to 7/5 of file No I)

3. The present Masjid being thus a comparatively recent construction erected without authority, it was held by Col. Campbell in 1887 and later on by Mr.Sparkes in 1901 that the Mahomedans were not entitled to have music stopped in front of it as was being done in the case of the old Masjid, and in my order of 1909, I only reiterated the orders of the above officers.

4. The records received with your letter under reply are herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

ಸ 3234/Police 160-ಎಸ್‌ಎಲ್‌ಆರ್/16 ಕ್ಕೆ 6.5.12

[Through Mr. H.K. Veerabasavaiya B.A.,
B.L., Advocate, Bangalore]

S.No:16

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ
ಸಾಂಖ್ಯಿಕ ಬಿಡ್ಡುರವರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಖಚರಲ್ಲಿ ಮುದ್ರಿಸಿ
ರಿವಿನ್ಯೂ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿ ಇಂದ -

ಯಡಕಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಬಿಡ್ಡರ್ ಸಾಂಖ್ಯಿ
ದಸ್ಕೆರಿ ಮುಸಲ್ಮಾನರಿಗೆ ಇಂಡಾರಸಾ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ -

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಮುಂದೆ ಹಿಂದೂ ಖಚರಗಳು
ಬಾಜಾ ಬಜಂತ್ರಿಗಳೊಡನೆ ಉತ್ಸವಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಗದುಕೊಂಡು
ಹೋಗುವದನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು
ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರಿಗೆ ಸಾಂಖ್ಯಿಕ ಬಿಡ್ಡುರವರಿಗೆ
ಗುಚರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಕೂಡಲೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಖಚರವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ ಮತ್ತೊಮ್ಮೆ
ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸಲಹೆಯನ್ನೆ
ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಮ್ಮತಿಪ್ರಕಾರಗಳನ್ನೆ ಮಕ್ಕಮಿಂದಜೋಡಾಗಿಯೇ ನಂಬಿಕೆ
ಇಂಡಾರಸಾ ಮೊಂಟೂ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಮುಂದಿನ ತಿಳಿಯುವದಾ
ನಿದನ್ನು ~~ಸಡಲಾಯಿಸಿ~~ ಕಡೂರಾರು ದಿವಾನ್ಯಾಯಸ್ಥಾಪಕರವರ
ನಿಮಗೆ ಈವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಲು
ಸಾಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಕಾಣಬರುವ
ದಿಲ್ಲವಾಗಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವು ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ -

ಈವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪಂ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಅಭಿ
ಗುಚರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನದ ಪ್ರೇಕ್ಷಣೆ
ಇರಲಾರದಲ್ಲಿ

By order,

For Secy to Govt

P.T.O.

27/4/12

J3238 dt 6-5-12 Encls records

Copy of the above is forwarded to the District Magistrate of Kadur with the records of the case received with his letter no. 289 dt 24.2.12.

By order,

For Secy to Govt.

KN. (123)
25.4.12

12 From The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur Dist
No: 9 Dt 5.7.11
Submits the sketches as desired
with the records concerned.

13 To Sri H Krishnappa Bn & Sri H.K. Veeraburasathaya Bn BL
Advocates — No: J 218-9 Dt 14.7.11
Informs that the case is posted
to 22/7/11

14 To The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur —
No: J 1315 Dt 31.10.11 —
Calls for a report with particular reference
to the petn dt 1.10.11 referred to therein.

15 From — Do. — No: 289 Dt 26.2.12
Submits the report called for —

16 To Budan Sab & others of Kodachalla —
No: J 3237 Dt 6.5.12
Rejects the appeal.
Copy of the above to the Dist: Magistrate.
No: J 3238 Dt 6.5.12

Received by
C.R.O. naidu

8/6/12